



Site Selection and Transition Issue Advisory Committee Report – June 2010

Jeanine Livingston, WA Federation of State Employees
Nancy Sutton, CA Region 5 Administrator

Join Hands
for Children | **2106**

March – May Meetings

- Reviewed and incorporated suggestions to selection criteria from March meeting where appropriate
 - Agreed will try to incorporate county lines as a selection criterion.
 - Will consider counties in which AG contracts out legal services.
 - Will consider size of demonstration sites and whether the size is large enough or feasible for Lead Agency to operate at that scale.
- A result of the Children’s Oversight Committee meeting was that sites could fall wholly within one region and not have to straddle 2 regional areas.

March – May Meetings (cont.)

- Conducted several mapping sessions with DSHS Research Data and Analysis group to further refine site scenarios.
 - Began mapping within regions.
 - CA also working on getting family counts.
 - CA also working on getting more up-to-date data from FamLink rather than relying on January 2009 CAMIS data.

March – May Meetings (cont.)

- Children’s Administration let committee know that they didn’t need a decision by June to make their timeline for Phase II.
 - CA provided update on current thinking for Phase I MC geographic areas – by existing 6 regions.
 - » Committee decided that it was prudent to wait until decision was finalized.
 - CA also let committee know about assessment POC will be doing over the summer months.
 - » Committee decided they wanted to wait and learn more about information that will be generated and timing of report.
- Decision was made to wait to make a recommendation on demonstration sites until more information was available since it wouldn’t impact deadlines.

March – May Meetings (cont.)

- Additional data being developed
 - Current data using FamLink instead of January 2009 CAMIS data.
 - Family counts using FamLink data
- Began discussing transition issues
 - Tribal cases (i.e., cases where CA doesn't have placement and care authority but has provided services)
 - Random assignment conditions

Next Steps

- Meet in July to get update on Phase I and assessment
- Review new data from FamLink
- Continue site selection mapping with new goal of September decision.
- Continue work on identifying transition issues.